arXiv:1607.05959v1 [physics.geo-ph] 20 Jul 2016

Geoneutrinos and reactor antineutrinos at SNO+

M Baldoncini[1,2], V Strati[1,3], S A Wipperfurth[4], G Fiorentini[1,2,3], F Mantovani[1,2], W F McDonough[4] and B Ricci[1,2]

Abstract In the heart of the Creighton Mine near Sudbury (Canada), the SNO+ detector is foreseen to observe almost in equal proportion electron antineutrinos produced by U and Th in the Earth and by nuclear reactors. SNO+ will be the first long baseline experiment to measure a reactor signal dominated by CANDU cores ($\sim$55% of the total reactor signal), which generally burn natural uranium. Approximately 18% of the total geoneutrino signal is generated by the U and Th present in the rocks of the Huronian Supergroup-Sudbury Basin: the 60% uncertainty on the signal produced by this lithologic unit plays a crucial role on the discrimination power on the mantle signal as well as on the geoneutrino spectral shape reconstruction, which can in principle provide a direct measurement of the Th/U ratio in the Earth.

1 Introduction

Designed as a retrofit of the former Sudbury Neutrino Observatory (SNO) at SNOLAB, SNO+ is a multipurpose kiloton-scale liquid scintillation detector aimed at performing low energy neutrino physics measurements. Thanks to an overburden of 6 km water equivalent and to a very low background, the SNO+ detector can reach several physics goals, including the observation of electron antineutrinos produced by the Earth and by nuclear reactors via the Inverse Beta Decay (IBD) reaction [1].

Geoneutrinos produced in beta minus decays along the ^{238}U and ^{232}Th decay chains provide an exceptional insight into the Earth's interior, allowing for the determination of the heat-producing element abundances and hence of the total radiogenic heat power of the planet. The SNO+ detector is located in the Superior Province (Ontario, Canada), one of the Earth's largest Archean's cratons, character-

[1] University of Ferrara, Department of Physics and Earth Sciences, Ferrara, Italy, · [2] INFN, Ferrara Section, Ferrara, Italy · [3] INFN, Legnaro National Laboratories, Legnaro, Italy · [4] Department of Geology, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, USA

ized by a thick ($\sim$ 42 km) continental crust, which gives rise to a sizable geoneutrino crustal signal rate [2]. In this framework, nuclear reactors are the most severe source of background as there is a significant overlap between the reactor antineutrino energy spectrum and the geoneutrino one at low energies. The Bruce, Pickering and Darlington power stations, which are respectively 240 km, 340 km and 350 km far from the SNO+ site, host globally 18 operating cores for a total thermal power of approximately 43 GW [3].

The primary aim of this study is predicting the expected geoneutrino and reactor signals at SNO+ on the basis of existing reference Earth and reactor models. Since these predictions are affected by some degree of uncertainties, the purpose is to highlight priorities for future refinements.



Fig. 1 SNO+ reactor signal and Bruce power station's effective thermal power. The SNO+ signal in the FER (red solid line, left y axis) and the Bruce power station's effective thermal power (black dashed line, right y axis) are reported on a monthly time scale from January 2004 to December 2014. In the top-right box a scatter plot of the total reactor signal in the FER and the Bruce power station's effective thermal power is shown.

2 Geoneutrinos

The prediction of the geoneutrino signal at SNO+ is based on the modeling of the distribution and amount of U and Th in the Earth's reservoirs. The continental crust, despite accounting for approximately 0.5% of the Earth's mass, is the main reservoir of U and Th and generates 75% of the total geoneutrino signal expected at

SNO+ [7]. For this reason a deep understanding of the continental crust, in particular the region immediately surrounding the detector, is mandatory to evaluate the geoneutrino signal and its uncertainties. These studies can be performed both via 3D geochemical and geophysical crustal models [2] and via heat balance models based on the combination of the Moho heat flux and of the crustal heat production [8].

The local crust of SNO+, i.e. six $2^{\odot} \times 2^{\odot}$ tiles centered at the detector location, is modeled in [2] and is based on integrating regional geological, geophysical, and geochemical data. The geoneutrino signal from the local crust is predicted to be $15.6^{+5.3}_{-3.4}$ TNU. A detailed analysis of the geoneutrino signal contribution from the different lithologic units of the local crust reveals that the Huronian Supergroup-Sudbury Basin (HS-SB), with a signal of $7.3^{+5.0}_{-3.0}$ TNU, is the major source of signal as well as of uncertainty concerning the local contribution to the expected geoneutrino signal. The $\pm 1\sigma$ range (4.3 - 12.3 TNU) of the geoneutrino signal produced by the HS-SB is comparable with the full range of the expected mantle signal (2 - 19 TNU) [9, 10]. With the perspective of inferring the mantle geoneutrino signal by subtracting the estimated crustal contribution to the total [9], improving the HS-SB modeling is mandatory.

In Fig.2 we report the geoneutrino spectrum subdivided into two components, generated by the U and Th in the local crust and in the rest of the Earth. We took into account the antineutrino survival probability adopting the oscillation parameters reported in [2]. In Table 1 we summarize the four main geoneutrino signal components at SNO+, corresponding to the local crust, rest of the crust, continental litospheric mantle and mantle contributions.

Table 1 Geoneutrino and reactor antineutrino signals at SNO+ reported in TNU. The total geoneutrino signal is the sum of the contributions from the local crust, the rest of the crust, the continental litospheric mantle and the mantle. The reactor signal is given separately for the LER and FER. The total reactor signal is the sum of the contributions generated by the Bruce power station and by the rest of the reactors.

Geoneutrinos		Reactor antineutrinos		
	LER [TNU]		LER [TNU]	FER [TNU]
Local crust	$15.6^{+5.3}_{-3.4}$	Bruce reactors	$17.3^{+1.0}_{-0.7}$	$73.7^{+2.0}_{-1.8}$
Rest of the crust	$15.1^{+2.8}_{-2.4}$	Rest of reactors	$31.2^{+0.9}_{-0.8}$	$118.9^{+2.8}_{-2.6}$
Continental litoshperic mantle	$2.1^{+2.9}_{-1.2}$			
Mantle	9			
TOTAL	40^{+6}_{-4}	TOTAL	$48.5^{+1.8}_{-1.5}$	$192.6^{+4.7}_{-4.4}$



Fig. 2 Geoneutrino and reactor antineutrino spectra at SNO+. The geoneutrino spectrum is subdivided into the local crust (brown line) and rest of the Earth (green line) components. The reactor spectrum is split into the contributions generated by the Bruce power station (red line) and by the rest of reactors (blue line). The overall antineutrino spectrum at SNO+ (black line) is also shown.

3 Conclusions and perspectives

As the antineutrino signal in the LER at SNO+ is expected to be generated by nuclear reactors and by the Earth in a ratio of $\sim$1.2, a detailed characterization of both the geoneutrino and the reactor antineutrino sources is compulsory in terms of both absolute values and uncertainties.

A multitemporal analysis of the expected reactor signal at SNO+ over a time lapse of 10 years (January 2004 December 2014) reveals that the monthly signal fluctuations associated to different reactors operational condition are on the order of 10% at 1σ level. The 18 operating CANDU reactors belonging to the Bruce, Pickering and Darlington power stations generate approximately 55% of the total reactor signal in the LER at SNO+. For this reason, an accurate profile of the CANDU fissile isotope inventory over the entire duty cycle is mandatory for the SNO+ experiment.

The HS-SB is the strongest geoneutrino source among the local crust reservoirs and it is predicted to produce $7.3^{+5.0}_{-3.0}$ TNU with respect to a total geoneutrino signal of 40^{+6}_{-4} TNU. The compositional heterogeneity of this lithologic unit, which is due to the presence of a mixture of Paleoproterozoic sedimentary, metasedimentary and igneous rocks of the Canadian Shield, affects the geoneutrino signal uncertainty on the order of 60%. Therefore, a systematic sampling of the main lithologies of the HS-SB is highly recommended for improving the knowledge of the U and Th

content of the unit and to put more stringent constraints on the local contribution to the geoneutrino signal at SNO+.

References

1. Chen M. C. 2006 *Earth Moon Planet* 99 221228
2. Huang Y, Strati V, Mantovani F, Shirey S B and McDonough W F, 2014 *Geochemistry, Geophysics* Geosystems 15 39253944
3. Baldoncini M, Callegari I, Fiorentini G, Mantovani F, Ricci B, Strati V and Xhixha G, 2015 *Phys. Rev. D* 91 065002
4. Bain A, Boyd F and Critoph E ,1997 *Canada enters the nuclear age: a technical history of Atomic Energy of Canada limited as seen from its research laboratories* (Canada: McGill-Queens University Press)
5. Nichols A, Aldama D and Verpelli M, 2008 *IAEA INDC (NDS)-0534*
6. Andringa S et al. (SNO+ Collaboration), 2015 *arXiv:1508.05759* [hep-ex, physics:physics]
7. Huang Y, Chubakov V, Mantovani F, Rudnick R L and McDonough W F, 2013 *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.* 14 20032029
8. Phaneuf C and Mareschal J C, 2014 *Tectonophysics* 622 135144
9. Fiorentini G, Fogli G L, Lisi E, Mantovani F and Rotunno A M, 2012 *Physical Review D* 86
10. Sramek O, McDonough W F, Kite E S, Leki c V, Dye S T and Zhong S, 2013 *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 361 356366